An effective battlecard is a **systematic way to help reps do what top sales reps do intuitively.**

It’s about **tight, digestible, key talking points** that link through to more detail. Reps win more deals by understanding how competitors shape the customer’s problem then proactively deposition without being negative.
A good battlecard

States your competitor’s points and supporting facts.
States counterpoints and supporting facts.
Uses a tone that is conversational; something reps can use or riff off of directly
Is short. Less is better. Link through to more depth but make the battlecard easy to read.

Battlecard articles to read

- Competitive Battlecards 101: Templates to Improve Your Battlecards
- 4 Key Roles in Your Organization That Need Battlecards
- 10 Metrics to Measure Your Competitive Intelligence Program
- 3 Measurable Advantages of Sales Enablement
- 5 Ways to Improve your B2B Sales Win Rate
- Insights Hidden Inside Your B2B Sales Data
- 5 Tips to Improve Your Sales Battlecards
- Outdated Battlecards Can Kill a Deal
- The Anatomy of Winning Sales Battlecards
Content to consider:

1. **Quick Dismiss**: top 3 things to know before pitching against them; set tone for rep (i.e. Acme is complex and requires a big upfront investment, high upfront services, requires a partner)
2. **Positioning**: how they pitch themselves and what they'll say to the prospect (i.e. Acme is the most complete HR recruiting platform in the world)
3. **Approach to Market**: key clients, vertical strength, key partners (i.e. 200+ enterprise customers including 80 of F100)
4. **Why we win**: their key weaknesses
5. **Why we lose**: their key strengths
6. **Objection handling**: what they say when selling against us; counterpoints to each of those objections
Content to consider:

7. **Landmines to Use**: what to say when selling against them (i.e. they are closed platform with limited services and SMB focus)

8. **Questions to Ask**: derivative of landmines phrased as q’s that force prospect to think (i.e. they are great at analytics but how do they help you with content acquisition?)

9. **Pricing**: Per product line ideally with a link to evidence (on competitor's site or a news article or quotes/notes from other reps)

10. **Watch out for**: what they'll do to win against us (i.e. heavy discounting for big brands, cheap upfront contracts made up following years)

11. **Default point of view of the buyer**: what mindset will the salesperson expect to encounter

12. **SDFC data**: "Recent wins against this competitor and by whom", "Company win rate over time against this competitor"
A couple of other points:

- Avoid giving instructions; instead detail competitor claims then refute them
- Two is better than one: one battlecard for the decision maker, one for the technical user
- Short and crisp. Easy to scan read. Itching to add just a little more? Don’t. Stop.
- Make them easy to find: inside SFDC, mobile, email, slack, browser extension, sales tools, wiki
- Collaborate with reps continuously to refine and refresh content; leave comments on the battlecard
- Resist the PDF temptation: they get stale. Make them mini-websites that constantly evolve.

**Test:** Imagine you’re pitching a prospect. You’ve just been asked “tell me again how you compare to....”. Click to open the battlecard. Can you answer the question quickly? Is it easy to scan read key points to ward off the next question? If no, all of this great work is for not. Nail this then make it easy to dig for more.
Templates and Examples

Zendesk, Bamboo and Vend are fake examples created from public content
Quick Dismiss

1. **Not ideal for Enterprise:** Challenges with cross department collaboration. Reporting and analytics poor. [See External Review]

2. **Too many emails:** Double/triple sends to customers (we got your ticket, answer to your ticket, ticket is now closed). [See External Review]

3. **Article Management:** difficult to find articles (search is poor). Not easy to know which articles are out of date. [See External Review]

---

### ZenDesk vs Salesforce

**Key Strengths**

1. **Ease of use:** Its simplicity, usability, and application ownership has garnered it over 60,000 paid customers since its inception in 2007.

2. **Implementation:** The SaaS solution typically requires no implementation: small teams can configure the software themselves.

3. **Integrations:** Freshdesk and Zendesk offer integrated phone, live chat, and email support, social media integration, and self-service center. You can integrate your Facebook and Twitter pages with the apps and offer customer support right inside your customers’ favorite social websites.

4. **Benchmarking:** Zendesk’s benchmarking service allows users to compare their key performance indicators (KPIs) to their peers

---

### Pricing

- **Essential:** $9/user/month
- **Team:** $25/user/month
- **Professional:** $59/user/month
- **Enterprise:** $125/user/month

---

### Landmines to lay

1. **Stability:** Are you ok with periodic network outages?

   **Why it works?** Stability is a “must have” for everyone. Zendesk has a surprising amount of outages compared to top-tier enterprise services like Salesforce, AWS, or Atlassian.

2. **Data quality:** What compromises does your company make because of the lack of access to the right Data, and KPIs?

   **Why it works?** Zendesk data quality is sporadic. Their pre-built reports are decent, but data becomes inconsistent when building customized reports. Without high quality data, it becomes difficult for companies to track their progress and success metrics.

3. **Ease of collaboration across departments:** How do you enable collaboration among multiple IT teams and your line of business peers? How does Ease-of-Use prevent you from engaging more participants?

   **Why it works?** For large, cross-departmental teams, collaboration is key. Zendesk struggles with large Enterprises due to restrictions and lack of visibility across departments.
# Zendesk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overview</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zendesk vs Salesforce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Weaknesses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Key Strengths

1. **Ease of use:** Its simplicity, usability, and application ownership has garnered it over 60,000 paid customers since its inception in 2007.

2. **Implementation:** The SaaS solution typically requires no implementation: small teams can configure the software themselves.

3. **Integrations:** Freshdesk and Zendesk offer integrated phone, live chat, and email support, social media integration, and self-service center. You can integrate your Facebook and Twitter pages with the apps and offer customer support right inside your customers’ favorite social websites.

4. **Benchmarking:** Zendesk’s benchmarking service allows users to compare their key performance indicators (KPIs) to their peers.
Zendesk builds software for better customer relationships. It empowers organizations to improve customer engagement and better understand their customers.

**Pricing**
- Essential: $9/user/month
- Team: $25/user/month
- Professional: $59/user/month
- Enterprise: $125/user/month
- Full package details

**Landmines to lay**
1. **Stability**: Are you ok with periodic network outages?
   - Why it works? Stability is a "must have" for everyone. Zendesk has a surprising amount of outages compared to top-tier enterprise services like Salesforce, AWS, or Atlassian.
2. **Data quality**: What compromises does your company make because of the lack of access to the right data, and KPIs?
   - Why it works? Zendesk data quality is sporadic. Their pre-built reports are decent, but data becomes inconsistent when building customized reports. Without high quality data, it becomes difficult for companies to track their progress and success metrics.
3. **Ease of collaboration across departments**: How do you enable collaboration among multiple IT teams and your line of business peers? How does Ease-of-Use prevent you from engaging more participants?
   - Why it works? For larger, cross-departmental teams, collaboration is key. Zendesk struggles with large Enterprises due to restrictions and lack of visibility across departments.

**Objection Handling**

**Objections vs Zendesk**
This deck was prepared to help sales overcome objections planted by competitors.

**Key Weaknesses**
1. **Not suited for larger teams**: Although it has begun to move upmarket, Zendesk remains best suited for small and midsize customer service teams (between 10 and 300 agents). Larger teams have reported challenges with cross-departmental collaboration.
2. **Case management**: Immature case management system. Weak notification system can lead to longer resolution and response times.
3. **Search functionality is weak**: Reportedly, it's very hard to look back on historical content and find specific items/tickets.
4. **Limitations on "Essential" and "Team" packages create challenges**: You can only have 1000 open tickets at one time before Zendesk auto closes them for you (even without a trigger/automation to close).

**Key Strengths**
1. **Ease of use**: Its simplicity, usability, and application ownership has garnered it over 60,000 paid customers since its inception in 2007.
2. **Implementation**: The SaaS solution typically requires no implementation: small teams can configure the software themselves.
3. **Integrations**: Freshdesk and Zendesk offer integrated phone, live chat, and email support, social media integration, and self-service center. You can integrate your Facebook and Twitter pages with the apps and offer customer support right inside your customers’ favorite social websites.
4. **Benchmarking**: Zendesk’s benchmarking service allows users to compare their key performance indicators (KPIs) to their peers.
Questions to identify pains:
1. What takes up the most time in your day?
2. What is the biggest inhibitor to company growth?
3. What would you consider a successful implementation?

Small Business Owner (0-10 emp):

$6-8/employee/month
- You pay a monthly subscription fee for each user login based on the number of employees at your company.
- Additional fees apply for optional training.
- Additional fees to use Performance Management Tool

Pricing

You don't offer the breadth of features that BambooHR does
- We offer 90% of what BambooHR does, for free. The other 10% is not core HR, it's "nice to have". Save that money to invest in your business.

BambooHR gets better overall ratings than Zenefits.
- BambooHR rates better for features built for large organizations. For SMEs without these robust needs, we outperform on the basics. (eg. Our Workforce management is rated better)

I'm already using multiple software tools. BambooHR offers open API to integrate and Zenefits doesn't.
- In one year we went from a benefits tool to full core HR w/ 7 integrations, while Bamboo only launched two features. At current velocity, our feature development rate is far outpacing BambooHR.

Objections / Reframes

Top 5 Reasons We Win
1. Freemium model a better entry-point for growing businesses.
   - Time & scheduling a must-have feature & ours outperform BambooHR.
2. Better services & support.
3. Best benefits management on the market at no cost to clients.
4. Scalable Solution - Services & feature-depth expand with your company.
   - Freemium model - We offer the HR basics for free, allowing users to scale up the cost & services as their business grows.
   - Reporting is nice, but the day-to-day is a must-have - Time & scheduling features outperform BambooHR, and they are

Top 5 Reasons We Lose
1. User requires broader feature offering
2. Prospect already using multiple software tools and needs to integrate
3. Receives slightly better online customer reviews
4. Negative perception of Zenefits from recent legal issues
5. Will import all of clients existing data during onboarding

- User requires broader feature offering - Best entry-point is small business. If they are mid-market, BambooHR may provide a broader set of features they want to use.
- Prospects already using multiple software tools and needs to integrate - we aren't a fit as we don't offer open API.
- Reviews of BambooHR are better than Zenefits - Offshored

Key Strengths
1. Pricing: Affordable subscription-based pricing
2. Ease of use: Easily navigated user interface makes learning BambooHR easy.
3. Open API: Open API allows for simple integration of third-party applications
4. Broad range of features/services: Covers a wide range of HR solutions.

Key Weaknesses
1. Users struggle to onboard to the platform. Little direction is given and customer support can be slow to respond.
2. Feature functionality is weak: Reportedly, features can be glitchy, esp. on mobile.
3. Weak Integrations: Aside from integration with 1SFive, few integrations currently exist to bring together data across the multiple tools most HR teams are using.
EXAMPLE BATTLECARD - VEND

Overview

Vend Overview:
Vend offers POS and e-commerce solutions. The product line is fairly simple. The focus has been on increasing the features to support effective retail (inventory management, reporting).

Target Customers:
Vend groups its POS offerings and pricing scenarios by the number of store locations. They are winning with smaller companies with less complicated products and multiple outlets. The product tends to fail miserably. Reviews confirm that smaller companies make up the customer base. Reviews get increasingly negative as the company size increases.

G2Crowd: Breakdown of respondents

Useful Quotes

April 2017
Terrible support that is not fit for purpose

April 2017
It lacks things like being able to change product cost at the register and the inventory reporting falls short

July 2016
We use Vend along with Shopify and it can be very tricky to tell which program is changed. Vend can change and allow for things to transfer to Shopify, but it is not possible to change things in Shopify and let it carry over to Vend. This has been difficult to counter in the past, but if we only change the details in Vend, then Shopify will be changed as well

Nov 2015
1. Takes a while to learn and master (for employees)
2. The receipt printing and return process can be confusing

Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Comp X</th>
<th>Vend HQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fully integrated POS</td>
<td>Complete end to end</td>
<td>Limited, pieced together</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory Management</td>
<td>Real time updates</td>
<td>Delays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting &amp; Analysis</td>
<td>Advanced Reporting</td>
<td>Basic Reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-commerce</td>
<td>Newly Entering E-commerce</td>
<td>Already in E-commerce, but weak solution set.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comp X offers end to end retail management solutions. From customer facing to store management and POS. Comp X is standalone and far superior as a result of the fully integrated capabilities.

Vend offers a variety of integrations and make shift connections. It's clear that Vend product team is basically a victim of "make the sales" or "stop the pain" based on the level of depth offered for each customer.

Strengths

Cost is low
• Tool built for simple retail situations
• Costs are USD, no accommodation for Canadian companies.

Tool is good for small companies
• Difficulty in expansion or growth. New stores change in inventories are cumbersome and not easily updated.

Weaknesses

Customer Tracking
• Tracking discounts has been a regular issue for Vend and seems to be included in multiple reviews.
• Issues with Customer groups.

Reports & Print outs
• Printing and return is a confusing process.
• Export, reporting and data are common themes again throughout the negatives of reviews.

E-commerce
• The Shopify integration has proved difficult and many customers do not find it simple or a great experience.
• Refer to Useful Quotes for specific examples.

Functionality
• There is a clear need for more functionality. Common sentiment seems to refer to Vend not being a fit for these businesses based on the comments of the business and reviews.

Landmines

Is it important for you to know who your repeat customers are?
Are you set up with an e-commerce platform? Are you planning to move your stores online?
How important is reporting to your business? do you need basic data or more advanced?

Point to their ROI calculator
No matter what the input there is positive outcomes. It doesn't sound like they have a clear grasp on ROI.

Highlight: Comp X case studies which show where real returns are generated from POS.
• Repair Case Study
• Carrier Case Study

Lean on user reviews and highlight the size of the companies responding
Over 50% of reviews on G2Crowd.com were from companies with less than 50 employees.

From the mid to large companies, the trend to highlight that Vend is a temporary POS system. It's a system that is used while a company transitions from a small retailer to a mid to large. They tend to switch out for a better system when they transition. Why wait and suffer through the pains?

Fears, Uncertainty, Doubts

Latest Wins

Date: July 2017
Sales Rep: Charles Montgomery Burns
Value: $114,000 per year
Opportunity Name: Moe's Mobile
Reasons for Winning: Moe's chose comp X because it was designed for enterprise companies. Other systems like Vend created poor experiences for customers and failed to track inventory effectively. Comp X reputation played a huge roll in the win. Useful Quote from CEO of Moe's Mobile on why he looked for a new system: "We were so frustrated. We had spent literally years working on our POS system. We were in a constant battle with it and staff hated it. Ultimately we realised we were poorly serving our customers, letting down our staff and bleeding commissions and stock. It had to change."

Date: May 2017
Sales Rep: Bannar Gumble
## Competitor A

**Logo**

### Overview
- **HQ:** Provo, Utah, US
- **Founded:** 2002
- **Ownership:** Private
- **Employees:** -300
- **Revenue:** $330-500M
- **Investment:** $70M Sequoia & Accel
- **Known Regions:** US, EU, Asia
- **Corporate Clients:** >5000, Fortune 500
- **Academic:** 9/10 Top 100 Business Schools

### Approach to Market
- **Their Key clients** (link to evidence w/names, 200+ enterprise customers including 80 of F100)

### Verticals Served
- **List verticals**

### Channel Partners
- **List partners** (Identify - resellers, third party providers.
- **Tip:** Take note of what features are provided by third party providers. This may contribute to a weakness that can help turn a deal.

### Questions to Ask
- **Highlight areas of weakness, recent news**
  - **Example:** Are they more focused on pharma? Competitor X is more focused on Enterprise Tech companies. Is there product designed with your use case in mind?
  - **Example:** How important is it for your information to be secure? Competitor X has had 2 data breaches in the past year.

### Track Record
- **55% company wide win rate against ...**
  - **Recent wins against them**
    - **Client name and which salesperson**
    - **Switch wins - client name, salesperson**

## Product Overview

### Product name + one liner on each
- **Example:** The Qualtrics platform is an all-in-one platform composed of standard and premium modules (additional cost).

### Key Strength
- **Example:** Powerful automation rules engine that can be customized to suit client’s (almost) every need.

### Key Weakness
- **Example:** Customization is required for every module, in every deployment - typically 6-9 months to deploy.

## Positioning
- **How they pitch themselves** (i.e. the most complete social software platform in the world)
- **What they’ll say about themselves when pitching**

## When to Engage/Not to Engage
- **Go/no areas where you will win or lose**

## Pricing
- **Per product line - link to evidence on their site, in a paper/article or quote from other reps**

### Licensing: Annual Pricing
- **Basic:** $xxx, 15 users, 12k resp
- **Standard:** $xxx, 35 users, 40k resp
- **Division:** $xxx, 75 users, 250k resp

### Services: Annual Pricing
- **Service 1:** details
- **Service 2:** details
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positioning</th>
<th>Why we win</th>
<th>Questions to Ask</th>
<th>Landmines to Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| - How they pitch themselves (i.e. the most complete social software platform in the world) - What they'll say about themselves when pitching | **Their key weaknesses**
Support with recent win stories against the competitor.
Example: Competitor X is weak on workflow functionality. The addition of a new category for example is bulky and time consuming.
- Client Name - Switch win - Client estimated that the same tasks took him 50% less time then with the competitors product. | **Highlight areas of weakness, recent news**
Example: Aren’t they more focused on pharma?
Competitor X is more focused on Enterprise Tech companies. Is there product designed with your use case in mind?
Example: How important is it for your information to be secure?
Competitor X has had 2 data breaches in the past year. | **(How to sell against them)**
What to bring up when selling against them (i.e. They're a closed platform more reliant on services mostly serving pharma) |
| Pre-emptive advantages | Why we lose | Key Points | Objection Handling |
| - Key strengths that create the filter by which the prospect will view competitor’s pitches, focuses on your key advantages |
Example: Any solution should have the ability to execute both qualitative and quantitative research, without if you end up needing two systems to do the job of one and you lose the advantage of data being carried from one type of study into another to reveal new insights and reduce the size of subsequent studies | **Their key strengths**
Include details on how to avoid common pitfalls.
- Example: Competitor X will try to lead the client to focus on reporting as the critical need. Refocus the conversation to the workflow and error minimization.
  - "Reporting is always better when the data is accurate". The competitors product is known to be bulky and creates a lot of opportunity for errant entry. | 1. Objection (How they sell against us)
- What they say when selling against us
- Counter point to each of those objections |
2. Objection - Same as above |
3. Objection - Same as above |
4. Objection - Same as above |
Click here for more resources on battlecards.